

### ON THE STELLAR SOURCES OF HIGH-DENSITY PRESOLAR GRAPHITE GRAINS.

E. Zinner<sup>1</sup>, M. Jadhav<sup>2</sup>, and R. Gallino<sup>3</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Laboratory for Space Sciences and the Physics Department, Washington University St. Louis, MO 63130, USA ([EKZ@wustl.edu](mailto:EKZ@wustl.edu)), <sup>2</sup>Hawai'i Institute of Geophysics and Planetology, University of Hawai'i, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA, <sup>3</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica Generale, Università di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy.

**Introduction:** Low- and high-density presolar graphite grains have different stellar origins as revealed by their isotopic compositions [1]. Low-density grains have <sup>15</sup>N, <sup>18</sup>O, and <sup>28</sup>Si excesses as well as large inferred <sup>26</sup>Al/<sup>27</sup>Al ratios, and some grains show evidence for the initial presence of <sup>44</sup>Ti. These isotopic signatures have been interpreted as evidence for an origin in Type II supernovae [2]. The stellar origin of high-density (HD) grains is less well understood. They generally do not have N and O isotopic anomalies and lack evidence for initial <sup>26</sup>Al and <sup>44</sup>Ti. Most HD grains have high <sup>12</sup>C/<sup>13</sup>C ratios and this and <sup>29,30</sup>Si excesses in some grains have been taken to indicate an origin in low-metallicity AGB stars [3, 4]. However, this applies at best for the majority of the grains. A few grains show evidence for <sup>44</sup>Ti and must have a SN origin. Another few HD grains have low <sup>12</sup>C/<sup>13</sup>C ratios and extremely large excesses in <sup>42,43</sup>Ca and <sup>46,49,50</sup>Ti. It has been proposed that these signatures indicate an origin in so-called born-again AGB stars, of which Sakurai's object is an example [5].

**Investigation:** We compare the Ca and Ti isotopic ratios measured in the HD graphite fraction OR1f from the Orgueil carbonaceous chondrite [6 and unpublished data] with theoretical models of the isotopic compositions in the envelope and the He shell of AGB stars with a range of masses and metallicities. He-shell compositions could explain the Ca and Ti isotopic compositions of grains for which a born-again AGB origin had been proposed. Such stars have lost most of their envelope and the Ca and Ti isotopic ratios on the surface reflect those of the He-shell. A sizeable fraction of the remaining grains have Ca and Ti anomalies that, while being smaller than those of the born-again grains, are much larger than those predicted for the envelope of AGB stars with masses 2, 3 and 5 M<sub>⊙</sub> and metallicities Z down to 1/6 the solar value. <sup>42,43,44</sup>Ca excesses in quite a few grains are within the range predicted for the He-shell of M=3M<sub>⊙</sub> and Z=Z<sub>⊙</sub> or M=2M<sub>⊙</sub> and Z=1/6Z<sub>⊙</sub> AGB stars. On the other hand, predictions of these models are larger than the <sup>46,47,49,50</sup>Ti excesses measured in the grains, which are better matched by M=2M<sub>⊙</sub> and Z=Z<sub>⊙</sub> models. However, most of these grain have high <sup>12</sup>C/<sup>13</sup>C ratios, whereas Sakurai's object has a low ratio and models of born-again AGB star also predict a low ratio [7]. It is quite unlikely that a fairly large fraction of presolar graphite grains are from born-again AGB stars. Type II SN models also predict large Ca and Ti anomalies [8]. However, the HD grains with Ca and Ti anomalies lack the N, O, Si and Al isotopic SN signatures shown by LD grains. Furthermore, certain ratios such as <sup>49</sup>Ti/<sup>46</sup>Ti are not well matched by SN models. The stellar origin of HD grains with intermediate Ca and Ti anomalies remains enigmatic.

**References:** [1] Zinner E. (2007) In *Treatise on Geochemistry Update*, 1-33. Elsevier Ltd., Oxford. [2] Travaglio C. *et al.* (1999) *ApJ*. 510, 325-354. [3] Zinner E. *et al.* (2006) PoS (NIC-IX) 019. [4] Amari S. *et al.* (2012) *LPS*. XLIII, Abstract #1031. [5] Jadhav M. *et al.* (2008) *ApJ*. 682, 1479-1485. [6] Jadhav M. *et al.* (2012) *GCA* (under revision). [7] Herwig F. *et al.* (2010) *ApJ*. 727, 89 (15pp). [8] Rauscher T. *et al.* (2002) *ApJ*. 576, 323-348.