

## RADIOGENIC AND NUCLEOSYNTHETIC NEON-22 FROM INDIVIDUAL PRESOLAR ORGUEIL GRAPHITES.

P. R. Heck<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Jadhav<sup>3</sup>, M. M. M. Meier<sup>4</sup>, S. Amari<sup>3</sup>, E. Zinner<sup>3</sup>, H. Baur<sup>4</sup> and R. Wieler<sup>4</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Robert A. Pritzker Center for Meteoritics and Polar Studies, Dept. of Geology, Field Museum. E-mail: prheck@fieldmuseum.org. <sup>2</sup>Chicago Center for Cosmochemistry, University of Chicago. <sup>3</sup>Laboratory for Space Sciences and the Physics Dept., Washington University, St. Louis. <sup>4</sup>Dept. of Earth Sciences, ETH Zurich, Switzerland.

**Introduction:** We report the detection of <sup>22</sup>Ne in individual presolar low-density graphite grains from the meteorite Orgueil (C11) and discuss different stellar sources for the Ne.

**Samples & Methods:** We selected 15 graphite grains from Orgueil low-density separate OR1d (1.75–1.92 g/cm<sup>3</sup>; [1,2]). The grains had previously been measured for C, N, O, Al-Mg, Si, K, Ca, and Ti isotopes with the NanoSIMS in St. Louis [1,2]. Large grains were selected (diam.: 3–12 μm). We used an IR laser gas extraction line coupled to an ultra-high sensitivity mass spectrometer equipped with a compressor ion source at ETH Zurich [3] to analyze isotopes of He and Ne (see refs. [4,5]).

**Results:** 4 out of 15 grains (27%) contained presolar <sup>22</sup>Ne above our detection limit (3.2×10<sup>-15</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> STP), defined by the 2σ standard deviation of blanks [4]. This is lower than the 43% fraction of <sup>22</sup>Ne-rich grains in lower-density Murchison KE3 graphite (1.65–1.72 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) [6] and similar to the Murchison KFB1 (2.10–2.15 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) fraction of 22% [5]. Gas amounts ([5.3–6.7]×10<sup>-15</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> STP <sup>22</sup>Ne) in OR1d are similar to those in KFB1 [5]. Concentrations ([0.6–11]×10<sup>-5</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>/g <sup>22</sup>Ne) decrease with increasing grain sizes. Significant amounts of material were consumed in the NanoSIMS as documented by SEM. This resulted in some loss of trapped noble gases.

**Discussion:** *Grain OR1d4m-13:* excesses of <sup>12</sup>C, <sup>15</sup>N, <sup>18</sup>O and <sup>28</sup>Si [1,2] indicate contributions from the He/C and Si/S zones of a type II supernova (SN) [7]. We interpret the <sup>22</sup>Ne as radiogenic (Ne-R) from the decay of <sup>22</sup>Na (τ<sub>1/2</sub>=2.6 a) that condensed with the graphite [5,8]. The <sup>28</sup>Si has to come from the Si/S or O/Si zone and would have included radioactive <sup>44</sup>Ti (τ<sub>1/2</sub>=60 a) that is produced in the same zones [7]. The absence of radiogenic <sup>44</sup>Ca could be due to absent TiC subgrains in this grain. It is unrealistic to explain the detected Ne as non-radiogenic: Although the upper limit of the <sup>20</sup>Ne/<sup>22</sup>Ne ratio is consistent with the He/C zone ratio [7], low gas-to-grain velocities in this zone make ion implantation unlikely [5,8]. *Grain OR1d4m-18* has a <sup>29</sup>Si deficit and <sup>30</sup>Si excess, similar to Z type presolar SiC. Such grains most likely originated in low-mass, low-metallicity AGB stars [9]. The stellar source and the non-detection of <sup>20</sup>Ne imply that the <sup>22</sup>Ne of this grain is most likely implanted Ne-G from the He-shell. *Grain OR1d4m-19* is characterized by a low <sup>12</sup>C/<sup>13</sup>C ratio and excess of radiogenic <sup>44</sup>Ca, diagnostic for a SN, thus requiring <sup>22</sup>Ne = Ne-R. *Grain OR1d4m-2* has a <sup>12</sup>C/<sup>13</sup>C ratio (18) too low for an AGB star origin and too high for low <sup>12</sup>C/<sup>13</sup>C sources other than SN. A SN source is therefore our preferred explanation.

**References:** [1] Jadhav M. 2009. *Ph.D. Thesis*, Wash. Univ. St. Louis. [2] Jadhav M. et al. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, subm. [3] Baur H. 1999. *EOS Trans. AGU* 46:F1118. [4] Heck P.R. et al. 2007. *Astrophysical Journal* 656:1208–1222. [5] Heck P.R. et al. 2009. *Astrophysical Journal* 701:1415–1425. [6] Nichols R.H. et al. 1993. *Meteoritics* 19:510–511. [7] Rauscher T. et al. 2002. *Astrophysical Journal* 576: 323–348. [8] Amari S. 2009. *Astrophysical Journal* 690:1424–1431. [9] Hoppe P. et al. 1997. *Astrophysical Journal* 487:L101–L104.